2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Assigned for all purposes to: Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Judicial Officer: Richard Burdge

Michael Freiman, Esq. (SBN 280716) Law Office of Michael Freiman 100 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 700 Santa Monica, CA 90401 (310) 917-1022 mike@employlegal.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff BREANNA NANCE

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BREANNA NANCE, CASE NO.: 198TCV24716 Plaintiff. **COMPLAINT FOR:** 1. Harassment in Violation of FEHA V. 2. Failure to Prevent Harassment in Violation of THE ACCELERATED SCHOOLS; and **FEHA** DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, 3. Retaliation in Violation of FEHA 4. Discrimination in Violation of FEHA Defendants. 5. Failure to Prevent Discrimination in Violation of **FEHA** DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff BREANNA NANCE for its Complaint against THE ACCELERATED SCHOOLS; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, hereby complains and alleges as follows:

PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Plaintiff Breanna Nance was at all times relevant to the matters alleged in this complaint an individual with her residence in California.
- 2. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the fictitiously-named Defendants sued herein as Does 1 through 20 inclusive, and each of them, are in some manner responsible for the occurrences, acts, and omissions alleged herein and that Plaintiff's damages were

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

16

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

proximately caused by their conduct. The true names and capacities of such fictitiously-named Doe Defendants, whether individual, corporate, partnership, associate or otherwise, are presently unknown to Plaintiff, and Plaintiff will seek leave of the Court to amend this Complaint to assert the true names and capacities of such fictitiously-named Defendants when the same have been ascertained. For convenience, each reference to the named Defendant herein shall also refer to Does 1 through 20, inclusive.

- 3 Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that in committing certain acts herein as alleged, some or all of the Defendants herein named were acting as the agents, joint ventures, partners, representatives, subsidiaries, affiliates and/or employees of some or all of the other Defendants, and that some or all of the conduct of such Defendants, as complained of herein, was within the course and scope of such relationship.
- 4. Pursuant to Article VI, Section 10 of the California Constitution, subject matter jurisdiction is proper in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.
- 5. Pursuant to Section 395 of the California Code of Civil Procedure, venue is proper in the Superior Court of California for the County of Los Angeles, because the Defendant conducted business in Los Angeles County.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

6. Defendant subjected its employee, Plaintiff, to a hostile work environment on the basis of racial harassment (including slurs, negative comments about African American people, comments about Plaintiff's skin color, and other derogatory comments based on race) from Defendant's students, which Plaintiff opposed and complained about.

- 7. Defendant subjected Plaintiff to retaliation for her complaints and disparate treatment on the basis of her race/color through exclusion, inferior terms and conditions of employment, and failure to prevent harassment.
- 8. Plaintiff has filed a charge with and received a right-to-sue notice from the Department of Fair Employment and Housing.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Harassment in Violation of FEHA) (Against All Defendants)

- 9. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 10. Defendants' actions constitute harassment in violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act ("FEHA").
- 11. As a proximate result of the wrongful conduct of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer a loss in earnings and other employment benefits according to proof at time of trial.
- 12. As a proximate result of the wrongful conduct of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered humiliation, emotional distress and mental pain and anguish all to her damage in an amount according to proof at trial.
- 13. In doing the acts herein alleged, Defendants acted with oppression, malice, and/or conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights and Plaintiff is therefore entitled to punitive damages.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Failure to Prevent Harassment in Violation of FEHA) (Against All Defendants)

14. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

- 15. Defendants' knew or should have known about its harassment toward Plaintiff and failed to prevent it.
- 16. Defendants' actions constitute failure to prevent harassment in violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act ("FEHA").
- 17. As a proximate result of the wrongful conduct of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer a loss in earnings and other employment benefits according to proof at time of trial.
- 18. As a proximate result of the wrongful conduct of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered humiliation, emotional distress and mental pain and anguish all to her damage in an amount according to proof at trial.
- 19. In doing the acts herein alleged, Defendants acted with oppression, malice, and/or conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights and Plaintiff is therefore entitled to punitive damages.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Retaliation in Violation of FEHA) (Against All Defendants)

- 20. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 21. Defendants' actions constitute retaliation in violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act ("FEHA").
- 22. As a proximate result of the wrongful conduct of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer a loss in earnings and other employment benefits according to proof at time of trial.

- 23. As a proximate result of the wrongful conduct of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered humiliation, emotional distress and mental pain and anguish all to her damage in an amount according to proof at trial.
- 24. In doing the acts herein alleged, Defendants acted with oppression, malice, and/or conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights and Plaintiff is therefore entitled to punitive damages.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Discrimination in Violation of FEHA) (Against All Defendants)

- 25. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 26. Defendants' actions constitute discrimination in violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act ("FEHA").
- 27. As a proximate result of the wrongful conduct of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer a loss in earnings and other employment benefits according to proof at time of trial.
- 28. As a proximate result of the wrongful conduct of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered humiliation, emotional distress and mental pain and anguish all to her damage in an amount according to proof at trial.
- 29. In doing the acts herein alleged, Defendants acted with oppression, malice, and/or conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights and Plaintiff is therefore entitled to punitive damages.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Failure to Prevent Discrimination in Violation of FEHA) (Against All Defendants)

30. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

- 31. Defendants' knew or should have known about its discrimination toward Plaintiff and failed to prevent it.
- 32. Defendants' actions constitute failure to prevent discrimination in violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act ("FEHA").
- 33. As a proximate result of the wrongful conduct of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer a loss in earnings and other employment benefits according to proof at time of trial.
- 34. As a proximate result of the wrongful conduct of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered humiliation, emotional distress and mental pain and anguish all to her damage in an amount according to proof at trial.
- 35. In doing the acts herein alleged, Defendants acted with oppression, malice, and/or conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights and Plaintiff is therefore entitled to punitive damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1. For payment of earned wages, withheld earnings, and other damages according to proof in an amount to be ascertained at trial;
 - 2. For payment of all statutory obligations and penalties as required by law;
- 3. For penalties, special damages, compensatory, and general damages in an amount to be proven at trial;
 - 4. For punitive damages as allowed by law;
 - 5. Loss of income incurred and to be incurred according to proof;
 - 6. For reasonable attorneys' fees by statute and contract;
 - 7. For costs of suit incurred herein;
 - 8. For interest provided by law;
 - 9. All damages and penalties pursuant to Labor Code;

| 1 | 10. For restitution and other equitable relief; and | | | | |
|----------|---|--------------------------------------|-----|--------------------------------------|--|
| 2 | 11. For such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper. | | | | |
| 3 | Dated: July 13 | | By: | LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL FREIMAN | |
| 4 5 | | | | Michael Freiman, Esq. | |
| 6 | | | | · • | |
| 7 | | Attorney for Plaintiff Breanna Nance | | | |
| 8 | <u>DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL</u> | | | | |
| 9 | Plaintiff Breanna Nance demands a jury trial. | | | | |
| 10 | Dated: July 13 | 3, 2019 | By: | LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL FREIMAN | |
| 11 | | | | Mabrell Juin | |
| 12 | | Michael Freiman, Esq. | | | |
| 13 | | | | Attorney for Plaintiff Breanna Nance | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 25 | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | |
| -0 | | | | | |